

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/855,694	05/16/2001	Yong-In Park	8733.428.00	9209	
30827	7590 12/30/2003		EXAM	INER	
	A LONG & ALDRID	GE LLP	MALDONAL	DO, JULIO J	
1900 K STR WASHING	EET, NW FON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2823		
			DATE MAN ED. 12/20/200	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Tradentark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
C7 1855, C94	05/14/2001	Yong-In Pouk	00,7CP.EEF8	
			EXAMINER	
			"Maldonado Julio J.	
			ART UNIT	PAPER
			2633	20031229

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The IDS filed 5/8/03 is attached.

Supervising i alambieminer

Technology Center 2860

PTO/SB/08A (10-01)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002.OMB 0651-0031
U. S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE perwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number

EMASY	stitute for form 1449A/PTO			, .	Complete if Known
				Application Number	09/855,694
11	VEORMATION	1 DI	SCLOSURE	Filing Date	May 16, 2001
S	STATEMENT BY APPLICANT			First Named Inventor	Yong-In Park
_				Art Unit	2823
	(use as many she	eets as	necessary)	Examiner Name	J. Maldonado
Sheet	. 1	of	1	Attorney Docket Number	8733.428.00-US

	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS						
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Document Number Number-Kind Code ² (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear		
WIL	AA	4,604,294-	08-05-1986	Tanaka et al.	colum 5 lines 4-9		

	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS						
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Foreign Patent Document Country Code ³ -Number ⁴ -Kind Code ⁵ (<i>if known</i>)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T ⁶	
ww	ВА	-61-256671-	11-14-1986	Matsumoto et al.	Abstract	۶,	

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant

¹ Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ² See attached Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the application number of the patent document. ⁵ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

		OTHER PRIOR ART – NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ²

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.





COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Paper No. 20031223

Application Number: 09/855,694

Filing Date: May 16, 2001 Appellant(s): PARK ET AL.

MAILED

DEC 3 0 2003

Yong-In Park Woong-Kwong Kim For Appellant

GROUP 2800

EXAMINER'S ANSWER

This is in response to the appeal brief filed 10/03/2003.

Art Unit: 2823

(1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

(2) Related Appeals and Interferences

A statement identifying the related appeals and interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the decision in the pending appeal is contained in the brief.

(3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of the claims contained in the brief is correct.

(4) Status of Amendments After Final

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

(5) Summary of Invention

The summary of invention contained in the brief is correct.

(6) Issues

The appellant's statement of the issues in the brief is correct.

(7) Grouping of Claims

Appellant's brief includes a statement that claims 1-5, claims 6-8, claims 9-14, claims 15-17 and claims 18-20 stand or fall together and provides reasons as set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) and (c)(8).

(8) Claims Appealed

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

Art Unit: 2823

(9) Prior Art of Record

6,261,881

Yamazaki et al.

07-2001

(10) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicants' admitted prior art in the instant application in view of Yamazaki et al. (U.S. 6,261,881).

In reference to claims 1, 5, 15 and 18, the prior art (Figs.1-4) in a method to form thin film transistors teaches forming a gate electrode (30) on a first substrate (1); forming an organic layer (33) over the first substrate (1) having the gate electrode (30); curing the organic layer (33) in a first chamber; transferring the first substrate (1) having the organic layer (33) from the first chamber to a second chamber; forming an active layer (34) on the organic layer (33) in the second chamber; forming source (38)and drain (40) electrodes on the active layer (34); forming a pixel electrode (14) connected to the drain electrode (40); and forming a liquid crystal layer (10) between the first substrate (1) and a second substrate (4) (page 2, line 11 – page 7, line 22).

Art Unit: 2823

The prior art fails to teach transferring the first substrate having the organic layer from a first chamber to a second chamber without exposing the first substrate having the organic layer to oxygen atmosphere during transfer. However, Yamazaki et al. (Figs.5, 13 and 14) in a related method to form thin film transistors teach transferring a first substrate (500) having an organic layer (503) from a first chamber (44) to a second chamber (45) without exposing the first substrate (500) having the organic layer (503) to oxygen atmosphere during transfer (column 9, line 23 – column 10, line 61 and column 15, line 4 – column 16, line 34). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to deposit the organic layer over the first substrate and transfer it from one chamber to another without exposing the organic layer to the atmosphere as taught by Yamazaki et al. in the TFT process of the prior art, since this would improve the interface between an active layer and an insulating film (column 2, lines 40-49).

In reference to claims 2-4, 16, 17, 19 and 20, the prior art teaches the organic insulating layer (33) is selected from a group consisting of benzocyclobutene (BCB); the heating is performed to cure the organic layer under an inert gas condition, said inert gas includes nitrogen gas (N_2); and the active layer (34) includes an amorphous silicon layer and a doped amorphous silicon layer (page 2, line 11 – page 7, line 22).

(11) Response to Argument

Appellants' arguments filed 10/03/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2823

Appellants argue that Yamazaki et al. "...is concerned with...the interface between the second gate insulating layer and the semiconductor layer described in embodiment 3, not the interface between the first gate insulting layer (BCB) and the second insulating layer (SIN_x or SiO₂). As such, Yamazaki et al. fails to teach 'curing the organic insulating layer' and 'forming a silicon layer on the organic insulating layer in the equipment without breaking the vacuum' as recited in the claim 1, and 'curing the organic layer in a first chamber' and forming an active layer on the organic layer in the second chamber', as recited in claims 15 and 18...".

In response to this argument, Yamazaki et al. teach a method of forming thin film transistor (TFT) devices, wherein in all the embodiments of the invention, forming on a semiconductor substrate having a gate wiring formed thereon, a gate insulating film, an initial semiconductor film and an insulating film without exposing them to air (column 5, lines 3 – 6, lines 23 – 26 and lines 46 – 49, for example). Furthermore, in the description of the apparatus used to form such layers (see Figs.13 and 14), Yamazaki et al. teach forming such layers in different chambers, and transferring the substrate from chamber to chamber without exposing it to air (column 9, lines 8 –22). This airdevoid atmosphere is achieved by first by establishing a high vacuum state in all the chambers used, followed by purging with nitrogen (column 9, lines 39 – 42). Having this in mind, Yamazaki et al. was recited to teach a process in which a TFT device was formed in a vacuum to avoid the presence of oxygen to improve the interface between layers (column 9, lines 8 – 42). Specifically, in the third embodiment of the invention (Fig.5), Yamazaki et al. teach using benzocyclobutene (BCB) as an insulating layer for

Art Unit: 2823

Page 6

the purpose of achieving a planar surface (column 15, lines 4 – 43). In other words, the object of citing Yamazaki et al. was that the reference specifically teaches transporting the substrate from one stage to another in a highly vacuum state to prevent contamination of the surface of the substrate. And the combination of the teachings of Yamazaki et al. in the prior art would arrive to the claimed invention, i.e., "forming a silicon layer on the organic layer without breaking the vacuum as recited in claim 1; and transferring the organic layer from a first chamber to a second chamber and forming an active layer on the organic layer in the second chamber without exposing the substrate having the organic layer to oxygen atmosphere during transfer in claims 15 and 18" as argued. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Art Unit: 2823

Respectfully submitted,

Julio J. Maldonado Examiner Art Unit 2823

gen

JMR

December 23, 2003

Conferees

George Fourson

Olik Chaudhuri &

LONG ALDRIDGE & NORMAN, LLP 701 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N.W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004 George Fourson
Primary Examiner